Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 50168 New Siara Properties, LP dba Noor Pantry Texaco RN102274263 Docket No. 2015-0330-PST-E

Order Type:

1660 Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

N/A

Media:

PST

Small Business:

Yes

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Noor Pantry Texaco, 8005 Bandera Road, San Antonio, Bexar County

Type of Operation:

Convenience store with retail sales of gasoline

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: June 26, 2015

Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$8,568

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$1,713 Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay: \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$205 Total Due to General Revenue: \$6,650 Payment Plan: 35 payments of \$190 each

Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP") Conditional Offset: \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - Unsatisfactory Site/RN - Unsatisfactory

Major Source: No

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A **Applicable Penalty Policy:** April 2014

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A

Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: January 13, 2015

Date(s) of NOE(s): February 9, 2015

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 50168 New Siara Properties, LP dba Noor Pantry Texaco RN102274263 Docket No. 2015-0330-PST-E

Violation Information

- 1. Failed to provide corrosion protection for the underground storage tank ("UST") system [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.49(a)(1) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(d)].
- 2. Failed to provide release detection for the pressurized piping associated with the UST system. Specifically, the Respondent had not conducted the annual line leak detector and pressurized piping tightness testing [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(2) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(a)].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

The Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures:

- a. Conducted the annual line leak detector and pressurized piping tightness tests with passing results on January 26, 2015; and
- b. Installed a corrosion protection system and tested the system with passing results on March 5, 2015.

Technical Requirements:

N/A

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A SOAH Referral Date: N/A Hearing Date(s): N/A Settlement Date: N/A

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: John Fennell, Enforcement Division,

Enforcement Team 7, MC 128, (512) 239-2616; Candy Garrett, Enforcement Division,

MC 219, (512) 239-1456

TCEQ SEP Coordinator: N/A

Respondent: Saiid Baiwa, Owner, New Siara Properties, LP, 9126 Perrin Beitel Road,

San Antonio, Texas 78217

Respondent's Attorney: N/A

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

PAYABLE PENALTY

\$6,855

Policy Revision 4 (Ap.	rii 2014)					PCW Revisi	on March 26, 2014
TCEQ DATES Assigned	17-Feb-2015						
PCW	23-Feb-2015	Screening	23-Feb-2015	EPA Due		٦	
***************************************	25 1 CD 2015	<u> Jercennis</u>	1 23 TCD 2015		· L		
RESPONDENT/FACILIT	TY INFORMATI	ON					
Respondent	New Siara Prope	erties, LP dba	Noor Pantry Te	xaco			
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.							
Facility/Site Region	13-San Antonio		3 -1	Major/	Minor Source	Minor	
CASE INFORMATION						T	 -
Enf./Case ID No.	50168 2015-0330-PST			No	. of Violations		***************************************
Media Program(s)				Governme	Order Type nt/Non-Profi		
Multi-Media	retroleum Store	age rank			f. Coordinato		
Hale Heala				fiel 1		Enforcement Team	7
Admin. Penalty \$ L	imit Minimum.	\$0	Maximum	\$25,000	7		
managan managan kanagan kanaga	thinhalannanatamanayayaanga agan nannan	·				***************************************	ann de armedia anno a anno anno anno anno anno anno
		Dana	lty Calcula	tion Sect	ion		
			•		.1011		
TOTAL BASE PENA	LTY (Sum o	f violatior	ı base penal	ties)		Subtotal 1	\$7,500
ADDISTMENTS (/ N TO CUDT	OTAL 1					
ADJUSTMENTS (+, Subtotals 2-7 are ob	/-) IU SUD Itained by multiplyir	OTAL I	e Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated	l nercentage		
Compliance His		ig the rotal basi	30.0%	in contract the contract of th		otals 2, 3, & 7	\$2,250
		Sout for And					7_7_
Notes	cimancei		agreed order wit ory performer cl		Dility and		
		unsausiacu	огу репоппет с	assincation.			
Culpability	No	7	0.0%	Enhancement		Subtotal 4	\$0
Corpublicy	110	<u> </u>	0.070	Linancenien		Jubiotal 4	30
Notes	The R	espondent de	oes not meet the	culpability cr	iteria.	"	
Good Faith Effi	ort to Comply	Total Adjust	tments			Subtotal 5	-\$1,312
Economic Bene	- f. t		0.00/	r 1 14		Subtotal 6	<u>+0</u>
Economic Bene	Total EB Amounts	\$151		Enhancement* d at the Total EB	\$ Amount	Subtotal 6	\$0
Estimated	Cost of Compliance			o de tiro rotal ab	4,000000		
	000000000000000000000000000000000000000			222224444444444444444			
SUM OF SUBTOTAL	LS 1-7					Final Subtotal	\$8,438
					**		
OTHER FACTORS A				1.5%)	Adjustment	\$130
Reduces or enhances the Final	Subtotal by the ind	licated percenta	ge.			1	
Notes	Recommended	l enhancemei	nt to capture the	avoided costs	of compliance		
Notes		associa	ited with Violatio	n No. 2.			
I				* *************************************	Final Po	l nalty Amount	\$8,568
					rillai Pe	manty Amount	30,300
STATUTORY LIMIT	LADILICTME	NT			Final Acc	essed Penalty	\$8,568
C.A.C.OK! EIMI	- ADJU3114E				i ilidi MSSI		40,508
DEFERRAL				20.0%	Reduction	Adjustment	-\$1,713
Reduces the Final Assessed Pe	nalty by the indicat	ed percentage.	(Enter number only:			Aujustilient	Ψ±,7±3

Notos		Doforral offe	arod for avaodita	d cattlement		.]	

Screening Date 23-F€b-2015

Docket No. 2015-0330-PST-E

Policy Revision 4 (April 2014) PCW Revision March 26, 2014

Respondent New Siara Properties, LP dba Noor Pantry Texaco Case ID No. 50168

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102274263

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator John Fennell

		T	
0%	0	tices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in tenforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	NOVs
0%	0	ten NOVs	
20%	1	d final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of eting criteria)	
0%	0	dicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal nt, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	Orders
0%	0	adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a iability of this state or the federal government (number of judgments tecrees meeting criteria)	Judgments
0%	0	icated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state eral government	and Consent Decrees
0%	0	nal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of	Convictions
0%	0	ccessive emissions events (number of events)	Emissions
0%	0	tifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the vironmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, which notices were submitted)	Audits
0%	0	es of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety ilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which violations losed</i>)	
	ease Enter Yes or No		
0%	No	ental management systems in place for one year or more	
0%	No	on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director pecial assistance program	Other
0%	No	on in a voluntary pollution reduction program	
0%	No	pliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal ent environmental requirements	
total 2)	centage (Subt	Adjustment Per	
-		•	eat Violator (
total 3)	centage (Subt	Adjustment Per	No
		Classification (Subtotal 7)	npliance Histo
total 7) [centage (Subt	Adjustment Per	Unsatisfactory
		агу	npliance Histo
	performer	ancement for one agreed order with denial of liability and unsatisfactory classification.	Compliance History Notes
	performer	Adjustment Perary ancement for one agreed order with denial of liability and unsatisfactory classification. Total Compliance History Adjustment Percentage (S	Unsatisfactory npliance Histo Compliance History

PCW

		23-Feb-2015	Docket No. 2015-03:		PCW
	ponaent e ID No.	New Siara Properties, LP dba Noor	Pantry Texaco		licy Revision 4 (April 2014)
Reg. Ent. Refere				PC	W Revision March 26, 2014
		Petroleum Storage Tank			
		John Fennell			
	n Number	1			
Rı	ıle Cite(s)				
	0(3)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.4	9(a)(1) and Tex. Water Cod	le § 26.3475(d)	
-	•				
Violeties D		Failed to provide corrosion protec	ction for the underground s	torage tank ("UST")	
Violation D	escription		system.		
				Base Penal	ty \$25,000
>> Environmenta	al, Prope	ty and Human Health Mat	rix		
	Release	Harm Major Moderate Mi	inor		
OR	Actual	Major Moderate Mi	inor		
~ ``	Potential	×	Percent	15.0%	
		<u> </u>			
>>Programmatic					
F	alsification	Major Moderate M	inor		
			Percent	0.0%	
Matrix H	uman healt	n or the environment will or could b	e exposed to pollutants tha	t would exceed leve	ls
Notes	that are p	otective of human health or enviro	nmental receptors as a resu	ilt of the violation.	
L					
			Adjustmen	\$21,2	50
	000000000000000000000000000000000000000		**************************************	***************************************	
					\$3,750
Violation Events					
	Number of	Violation Events 1	41 Number	of violation days	
		daily			
		weekly			
п	nark only one	monthly quarterly hours x	Vic	lation Base Penal	ty \$3,750
	with an x	quarterly x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	VIC	nation base Penai	\$3,730
		annual William IIII			
		single event			
		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
	One qual	terly event is recommended from the	ne January 13, 2015 investig	nation date to the	
	one qua		5 screening date.	900017 0000 00 0110	
<u>L</u>					
Good Faith Effort	ts to Con	ply 10.0%		Reduction	sn \$375
			NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	ACUILLA.	, y J J
		Extraordinary			
***************************************		Ordinary	X		
		N/A (mark	with x)		
		The Beenenden	t came into compliance on N	Aarah E	
			bruary 9, 2015 Notice of En		
		The state of the s	Brudity 3, 2013 Hotice of Eli		
***************************************				Violation Cult	*2 275
				Violation Subtot	al \$3,375
Economic Benefi	t (EB) fo	this violation	Statut	ory Limit Test	
	Estima	ted EB Amount	\$21 Violation	Final Penalty Tot	al \$4,569
		This violation	Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limit	s) \$4,569
			, charty (,	, , ,,,,,,,

	E	conomic I	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent	New Siara Pro	perties, LP dba No	or Pantry Texa	CO			
Case ID No.	50168						
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN102274263						
Media	Petroleum Sto	rage Tank					Years of
Violation No.	1					Percent Interest	Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	V	Interest Saved	***************************************	EB Amount
Thomas Discouling Sans			i mai Date		anterest Saveu	Officialic Costs	LO AIIIOUIIL
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs		V 12 les 2015 V	F Max 2015	1014	#4	#30 T	
Equipment	\$2,161	13-Jan-2015	5-Mar-2015	0.14	\$1 \$0	\$20 \$0	\$21 \$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Other (as needed) Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Land		 		0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	30 \$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs	Actual cost, p				on system and test nal Date is the con	t the system. The D npliance date.	ate Required is
Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	enterii	ng item (except I	for one-time avoid	led costs)
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling		_		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/Equipment	<u> </u>			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]		4		0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	<u>1 \$U</u>	3U I	<u> </u>
Notes for AVOIDED costs							

				::			
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$2,161			TOTAL		\$21
					ما خشتان المان خشار مرسور مرسور مراجع المواجع المرسور المرسور المرسور المرسور المرسور المرسور المرسور المرسور		

Respondent New Stare Properties, LP dos Noor Parity Texaco Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN10227463 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN10227463 Media [Statute] Perindem Storage Tank Enf. Coordinator John Fernall Violation Number Rule Cite(s) Violation Description Failed to provide release detection for the pressurized pping associated with the UST system. Specifically, the Respondent relating conducted the annual line leak detector and piping bightness besting. **Programmatic Matrix Perindent Minor Percent Release Hajor Roderate Minor Percent Statistical Percent Release Hajor Moderate Minor Release Hajor Moderate Minor Release Release Hajor Moderate Minor Release Rele	-PST-E PCW	Docket No. 2015-0330-PST-E	Screening Date 23-Feb-2015
Media Statute Perclam Storage Tank Enf. Coordinator Storage Tank		ies, LP dba Noor Pantry Texaco	•
Media Statute Petroleum Storage Tank	PCW Revision March 26, 2014		
Violation Number 2 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(2) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(a)		e Tank	
Violation Number Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(2) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(a)			
Falled to provide release detection for the pressurated piping associated with the UST system. Specifically, the Respondent had not conducted the annual line leak detector and piping tightness testing. Separatrial			Violation Number 2
Falled to provide release edection for the pressurized piping associated with the UST system. Specifically, the Respondent had not conducted the annual line leak detector and piping tightness testing. Base Penalty	826.3475(a)	min. Code § 334.50(b)(2) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(a	Rule Cite(s)
Violation Description UST system. Specifically, the Respondent had not conducted the annual line leak detector and piping tightness testing. Base Penalty \$25,000	3 20.347.5(a)	min code 3 334.30(B)(2) and rex. Water code 3 20.3473(a	90 TCX. 70
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix Harm Release Major Moderate Minor Moderate Minor Percent 15.0%		pecifically, the Respondent had not conducted the annual lin	
Release Major Moderate Minor Actual Petential X Percent 15.0% > Programmatic Matrix Faisification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0.0% Matrix Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants that would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Adjustment 521,250 \$3,750 Violation Events Number of Violation Events 1 365 Number of violation days **Construction of the first state of the violation days of the first state of the first sta	Base Penalty \$25,000	Base I	
Release Major Moderate Minor Actual Petential X Percent 15.0% > Programmatic Matrix Faisification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0.0% Matrix Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants that would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Adjustment 521,250 \$3,750 Violation Events Number of Violation Events 1 365 Number of violation days **Construction of the first state of the violation days of the first state of the first sta		n Health Matrix	> Environmental, Property and Huma
Percent 15.0% >> Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0.0% Matrix Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants that would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Adjustment \$21,250 \$3,750 Violation Events Number of Violation Events 1 365 Number of violation days Weekly	388888888888888888888888888888888888888		
> > Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Falsification Major Moderate Minor Falsification Major Moderate Minor Falsification Percent 0.0% Matrix Muman health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants that would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. **Adjustment** \$21,250 **S3,750 **Violation Events** Number of Violation Events* Number of Violation Events* **Number of Violation Base Penalty* **S3,750 **One annual event is recommended for the 12-month period preceding the January 13, 2015 **Investigation* **One annual event is recommended for the 12-month period preceding the January 13, 2015 **Investigation* **One annual event is recommended for the 12-month period preceding the January 13, 2015 **Investigation* **One annual event is recommended for the 12-month period preceding the January 13, 2015 **Investigation* **Number of Violation Base Penalty* **S3,750 **One annual event is recommended for the 12-month period preceding the January 13, 2015 **Investigation* **Number of Violation Base Penalty* **S3,750 **S4,750 **S4,		Moderate Minor	
>> Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0.0% Matrix Notes Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants that would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. **Adjustment** \$21,250 **\$3,750 **Violation Events** Number of Violation Events** Number of Violation Events** Namik only one with an x **Semiantical annual serial annual single event** One annual event is recommended for the 12-month period preceding the January 13, 2015 Investigation. Good Faith Efforts to Comply **Extraordinary Cordinary X N/A Notes The Respondent came into compliance on January 26, 2015, before the February 9, 2015 Notice of Enforcement. Violation Subtotal \$2,813 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$130 Violation Final Penalty Total \$3,999	15.00/	Porcent 15.00/	
Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants that would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. **Adjustment** **Statutory Limit Test** Percent		Fercent 13.0%	rotential X
Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants that would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. **Adjustment** **Statutory Limit Test** Percent	reger		>Programmatic Matrix
Matrix Notes Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants that would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Adjustment \$21,250		Moderate Minor	
Notes that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Adjustment \$21,250	0.0%	Percent 0.0%	
Notes that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Adjustment \$21,250			
### Adjustment \$21,250 ### Statutory Limit Test ### Statutory Limit Test ### Statutory Limit Test ### Statutory Limit Test ### \$3,750 ##	ould exceed levels	ent will or could be exposed to pollutants that would exceed	Matrix Human health or the environn
Violation Events Number of Violation Events Violation Base Penalty \$3,750	of the violation.	n health or environmental receptors as a result of the violat	Notes that are protective of huma
Violation Events Number of Violation Events Violation Base Penalty \$3,750			ijapita a tanan mata
Violation Events Number of Violation Events	\$21,250	Adjustment	
Number of Violation Events Number of Violation Events 1 365 Number of violation days		*	
Number of Violation Events 1 365 Number of violation days daily	\$3,750		
Number of Violation Events 1 365 Number of violation days daily			elation Events
Mark only one with an x Weekly			olation events
Weekly monthly Wolation Base Penalty \$3,750	violation days	1 365 Number of violation da	Number of Violation Events
Weekly monthly Wolation Base Penalty \$3,750			Lton
One annual event is recommended for the 12-month period preceding the January 13, 2015 investigation. Good Faith Efforts to Comply 25.0% Reduction \$937 Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary N/A (mark with x) The Respondent came into compliance on January 26, 2015, before the February 9, 2015 Notice of Enforcement. Violation Subtotal \$2,813 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$130 Violation Final Penalty Total \$3,999	ition Base Penalty \$3,750	Violation Base I	weekly monthly mark only one with an x weekly quarterly
One annual event is recommended for the 12-month period preceding the January 13, 2015 Investigation. Good Faith Efforts to Comply Estraordinary Ordinary N/A Imark with x) The Respondent came into compliance on January 26, Notes 2015, before the February 9, 2015 Notice of Enforcement. Violation Subtotal \$2,813 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation \$3,999		(in (in X))	annual
Good Faith Efforts to Comply Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary x N/A (mark with x) The Respondent came into compliance on January 26, 2015, before the February 9, 2015 Notice of Enforcement. Violation Subtotal \$2,813 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation \$3,999	1		single event
Good Faith Efforts to Comply Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary x N/A (mark with x) The Respondent came into compliance on January 26, 2015, before the February 9, 2015 Notice of Enforcement. Violation Subtotal \$2,813 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation \$3,999			
Good Faith Efforts to Comply Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A The Respondent came into compliance on January 26, Notes 2015, before the February 9, 2015 Notice of Enforcement. Violation Subtotal \$2,813 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$3,999	anuary 13, 2015	The second secon	One annual event is recom
Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary x N/A (mark with x) The Respondent came into compliance on January 26, Notes 2015, before the February 9, 2015 Notice of Enforcement. Violation Subtotal \$2,813 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$130 Violation Final Penalty Total \$3,999		investigation.	
Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary x			
Extraordinary Ordinary x N/A (mark with x) The Respondent came into compliance on January 26, Notes 2015, before the February 9, 2015 Notice of Enforcement. Violation Subtotal \$2,813 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$130 Violation Final Penalty Total \$3,999	Reduction \$937		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Ordinary x (mark with x) The Respondent came into compliance on January 26, Notes 2015, before the February 9, 2015 Notice of Enforcement. Violation Subtotal \$2,813 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$130 Violation Final Penalty Total \$3,999		fore NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	Table 1
N/A (mark with x) The Respondent came into compliance on January 26, Notes 2015, before the February 9, 2015 Notice of Enforcement. Violation Subtotal \$2,813 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$130 Violation Final Penalty Total \$3,999	000000000000000000000000000000000000000		· '
The Respondent came into compliance on January 26, 2015, before the February 9, 2015 Notice of Enforcement. Violation Subtotal \$2,813 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$130 Violation Final Penalty Total \$3,999	· ·		· (1)
Notes 2015, before the February 9, 2015 Notice of Enforcement. Violation Subtotal \$2,813 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$130 Violation Final Penalty Total \$3,999	ary 26		14/A[[][
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$130 Violation Final Penalty Total \$3,999	·····*··· ·· · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2015, before the February 9, 2015 Notice of	Notes
Estimated EB Amount \$130 Violation Final Penalty Total \$3,999	Violation Subtotal \$2,813	Violation S	
	ry Limit Test	n Statutory Limit T	conomic Benefit (EB) for this violatio
This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$3,999	inal Penalty Total \$3,999	\$130 Violation Final Penalt	Estimated EB Amount
	djusted for limits) \$3,999	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for	

	Ec	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent	.00000000000000000000000000000000000000	perties, LP dba No	************	00000000000000			
Case ID No.	50168						
eg. Ent. Reference No.							
	Petroleum Sto	rage Tank				Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.	2						Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
***************************************	**:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::			94-000cjs-044-00g			
Delayed Costs							
Equipment		Historia II		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)		L		0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs							
Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before			for one-time avoid	
Avoided Costs Disposal	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Dection/Reporting/Sampling	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Dection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel pection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2]				0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel pection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	ANNUAL S118	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.95	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$12	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$118	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$130
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment				0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	\$118	13-Jan-2014	26-Jan-2015	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.95 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$12 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$118	13-Jan-2014	26-Jan-2015 onduct the annu	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.95 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$12 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$118 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$130 \$0

The TCEO is committed to accessibility.

To request a more accessible version of this report, please contact the TCEQ Help Desk at (512) 239-4357.



TCEQ Compliance History Report

PUBLISHED Compliance History Report for CN603095126, RN102274263, Rating Year 2014 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2009, through August 31, 2014.

Customer, Respondent, CN603095126, New Siara Properties, LP

Classification: UNSATISFACTORY

Rating: 56.25

or Owner/Operator: Regulated Entity:

RN102274263, Noor Pantry Texaco

Classification: UNSATISFACTORY

Rating: 56.25

Complexity Points:

Repeat Violator: NO

CH Group:

14 - Other

Location:

8005 BANDERA RD SAN ANTONIO, TX 78250-5131, BEXAR COUNTY

TCEQ Region:

REGION 13 - SAN ANTONIO

ID Number(s):

PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK REGISTRATION

REGISTRATION 55368

Compliance History Period: September 01, 2009 to August 31, 2014

Rating Year: 2014

Rating Date: 09/01/2014

Date Compliance History Report Prepared: April 28, 2015

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Component Period Selected: February 23, 2010 to February 23, 2015

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding This Compliance History.

Name: John Fennell

Phone: (512) 239-2616

Site and Owner/Operator History:

1) Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

YES

2) Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

3) If **YES** for #2, who is the current owner/operator?

4) If YES for #2, who was/were the prior

N/A N/A

owner(s)/operator(s)?

5) If **YES**, when did the change(s) in owner or operator N/A

occur?

Components (Multimedia) for the Site Are Listed in Sections A - J

A. Final Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees:

Effective Date: 02/24/2013

ADMINORDER 2012-0459-PST-E (1660 Order-Agreed Order With Denial)

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.3467(a)

30 TAC Chapter 334, SubChapter A 334.8(c)(5)(A)(i)

Description: Failed to make available to a common carrier a valid, current TCEQ delivery certificate before accepting delivery of a regulated substance into the USTs.

Classification: Moderate

30 TAC Chapter 37, SubChapter I 37.815(a)

30 TAC Chapter 37, SubChapter I 37.815(b)

Description: Failed to demonstrate acceptable financial assurance for taking corrective action and for compensating third parties for bodily injury and property damages caused by accidental releases arising from the operation of petroleum USTs.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.3475(c)(1)

30 TAC Chapter 334, SubChapter C 334.50(b)(1)(A)

Description: Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once per month (not to exceed 35 days

between each monitoring). Classification: Moderate

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.3475(a)

30 TAC Chapter 334, SubChapter C 334.50(b)(2)

Description: Failed to provide release detection for the piping associated with the UST system.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 334, SubChapter A 334.8(c)(4)(A)(vii)

30 TAC Chapter 334, SubChapter A 334.8(c)(5)(B)(ii)

Description: Failed to renew a previously issued UST delivery certificate by submitting a properly completed UST

registration and self-certification form at least 30 days before the expiration date.

B. Criminal convictions:

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events:

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

N/Δ

E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

N/A

F. Environmental audits:

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs):

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates:

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program:

N/A

J. Early compliance:

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas:

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
NEW SIARA PROPERTIES, LP	§	
DBA NOOR PANTRY TEXACO	§	
RN102274263	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2015-0330-PST-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

On ________, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding New Siara Properties, LP dba Noor Pantry Texaco ("Respondent") under the authority of Tex. Water Code chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent operates a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline at 8005 Bandera Road in San Antonio, Bexar County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. The Respondent's three underground storage tanks ("USTs") are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission.
- 3. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about February 14, 2015.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Eight Thousand Five Hundred Sixty-Eight Dollars (\$8,568) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Two Hundred Five Dollars (\$205)

of the administrative penalty and One Thousand Seven Hundred Thirteen Dollars (\$1,713) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

The remaining amount of Six Thousand Six Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$6,650) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 35 monthly payments of One Hundred Ninety Dollars (\$190) each. The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may, at the Executive Director's option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of the Respondent to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by the Respondent to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Agreed Order.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures at the Facility:
 - a. Conducted the annual line leak detector and pressurized piping tightness tests with passing results on January 26, 2015; and
 - b. Installed a corrosion protection system and tested the system with passing results on March 5, 2015.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

- 1. Failed to provide corrosion protection for the UST system, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49(a)(1) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(d), as documented during an investigation conducted on January 13, 2015.
- 2. Failed to provide release detection for the pressurized piping associated with the UST system, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(2) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(a), as documented during an investigation conducted on January 13, 2015. Specifically, the Respondent had not conducted the annual line leak detector and pressurized piping tightness testing.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: New Siara Properties, LP dba Noor Pantry Texaco, Docket No. 2015-0330-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 3. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.

New Siara Properties, LP dba Noor Pantry Texaco DOCKET NO. 2015-0330-PST-E Page 4

- 4. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 5. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which 6. together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.
- 7. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier.

For the Commission

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.
 I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in: A negative impact on compliance history; Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted; Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency; Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions; Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law. In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.
Signature SAJID S BAJWA Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of
New Siara Properties, LP dba Noor Pantry Texaco

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration

Division, Revenue Operations Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.